

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 MARCO GUZMAN,

5 Petitioner,

6 v.

7 ATTORNEY GENERAL, *et al.*,

8 Respondents.
9

Case No. 3:17-cv-00515-HDM-CLB

ORDER

10
11 In this habeas corpus action, after an initial 90-day period, and then a 45-day
12 extension of time, the respondents were due to respond to Petitioner Marco Guzman's
13 third amended petition for writ of habeas corpus by June 3, 2021. See Order entered
14 January 19, 2021 (ECF No. 54) (90 days for response); Order entered April 22, 2021
15 (ECF No. 58) (45-day extension).

16 On June 3, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 59),
17 requesting a further 40-day extension of time, to July 13, 2021. Respondents' counsel
18 states that the extension of time is necessary because of her obligations in another
19 case. Petitioner, represented by appointed counsel, does not oppose the motion for
20 extension of time. The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is
21 made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and there is good cause for
22 the extension of time requested.

23 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of
24 Time (ECF No. 59) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including July 13,
25 2021, to respond to the third amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered January 19, 2021 (ECF No. 54) will remain in effect.

DATED THIS 7th day of June, 2021.

Howard D McKibben

HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE